

# Uniqueness of certain polynomials constant on a hyperplane

Jiří Lebl<sup>1</sup> Daniel Lichtblau<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

<sup>2</sup>Wolfram Research, Inc.

ACA 2009, Montréal

# Motivation

I will begin with the motivation from complex analysis. Let

$$z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

be the coordinates or  $((z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Let

$$\mathbb{B}_n = \text{unit ball in } \mathbb{C}^n = \{z : \|z\| < 1\}.$$

# Motivation

I will begin with the motivation from complex analysis. Let

$$z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

be the coordinates or  $((z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Let

$$\mathbb{B}_n = \text{unit ball in } \mathbb{C}^n = \{z : \|z\| < 1\}.$$

An interesting question asked a long time ago (by Rudin I believe) is:

## Question

*What are the proper holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{B}_n$  to  $\mathbb{B}_N$  ( $n \neq N$  in general)*

Proper means that  $f^{-1}$  takes compacts to compacts. If  $f$  extends to the boundary, proper  $f$  takes the boundary to the boundary.

The answer is not completely known even if you restrict the maps to be rational, polynomial, or even monomial.

# A sample of what is known

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper holomorphic map.

## Exercise

If  $n = N = 1$  (i.e. maps taking circle to circle), then  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product. That is,  $z \mapsto e^{i\theta} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{z-a_j}{1-\bar{a}_j z}$ .

## Exercise

If  $n > N$ , no proper map exists.

## A sample of what is known

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper holomorphic map.

### Exercise

If  $n = N = 1$  (i.e. maps taking circle to circle), then  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product. That is,  $z \mapsto e^{i\theta} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{z - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z}$ .

### Exercise

If  $n > N$ , no proper map exists.

From now on  $n \geq 2$ .

### Theorem (Alexander '77 (also Pelles, Pinchuk, Fornaess))

If  $n = N$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then  $f$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ .

## A sample of what is known II

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper holomorphic map.

**Theorem (Forstnerič '89)**

*If  $f$  is  $C^\infty$  up to boundary, then  $f$  is rational of degree bounded by  $D(n, N)$ .*

## A sample of what is known II

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper holomorphic map.

### Theorem (Forstnerič '89)

*If  $f$  is  $C^\infty$  up to boundary, then  $f$  is rational of degree bounded by  $D(n, N)$ .*

### Theorem (Rudin '84 (much easier proof by D'Angelo))

*If  $f$  is a homogeneous polynomial then  $f$  is equivalent to  $z \mapsto z^{\otimes d}$ .*

Where  $z^{\otimes d}$  is the symmetric tensor with proper weights. E.g. for  $n = 2$ ,  $d = 3$  we have

$$(z, w) \mapsto (z, w)^{\otimes 3} = (z^3, \sqrt{3}z^2w, \sqrt{3}zw^2, w^3).$$

The equivalence is up to automorphisms of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  and  $\mathbb{B}_N$ .

## And we get to monomial maps

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper holomorphic map.

A map is *monomial* if all components are monomials.

Theorem (– (preprint '09))

*If  $f$  is rational of degree two, then  $f$  is equivalent to a monomial map.*

## And we get to monomial maps

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper holomorphic map.

A map is *monomial* if all components are monomials.

### Theorem (– (preprint '09))

*If  $f$  is rational of degree two, then  $f$  is equivalent to a monomial map.*

We concentrate on  $n = 2$ . The following is really the kind of statement we would be happiest with.

### Theorem (Faran '82)

*If  $f: \mathbb{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_3$  is  $C^3$  up to the boundary then  $f$  is equivalent to*

- 1  $(z, w) \mapsto (z, w, 0)$
- 2  $(z, w) \mapsto (z, zw, w^2)$
- 3  $(z, w) \mapsto (z^2, \sqrt{2}zw, w^2)$  (that is  $(z, w)^{\otimes 2}$ )
- 4  $(z, w) \mapsto (z^3, \sqrt{3}zw, w^3)$

## Real geometric setup

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper map extending to the boundary. Then

$$\|f(z, w)\|^2 = |f_1(z, w)|^2 + \cdots + |f_N(z, w)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$$

## Real geometric setup

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper map extending to the boundary. Then

$$\|f(z, w)\|^2 = |f_1(z, w)|^2 + \cdots + |f_N(z, w)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$$

Let  $f$  be monomial, that is,  $f_k = c_k z^{a_k} w^{b_k}$ . Then

$$|f_k(z, w)|^2 = |c_k|^2 (|z|^2)^{a_k} (|w|^2)^{b_k}.$$

## Real geometric setup

Let  $f: \mathbb{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_N$  be a proper map extending to the boundary. Then

$$\|f(z, w)\|^2 = |f_1(z, w)|^2 + \cdots + |f_N(z, w)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$$

Let  $f$  be monomial, that is,  $f_k = c_k z^{a_k} w^{b_k}$ . Then

$$|f_k(z, w)|^2 = |c_k|^2 (|z|^2)^{a_k} (|w|^2)^{b_k}.$$

Replace  $x = |z|^2$  and  $y = |w|^2$ . Then  $\|f(z, w)\|^2$  becomes a real polynomial  $p(x, y)$  with nonnegative coefficients such that

$$p(x, y) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x + y = 1.$$

If all monomials in  $f$  were distinct, then  $N$  is the number of monomials in  $p(x, y)$ .

# Degree estimate

Denote by  $N(p)$  the number of distinct monomials in  $p$ .

## Theorem (D'Angelo, Kos, Riehl '03)

Let  $p(x, y)$  be a real polynomial of degree  $d$  with nonnegative coefficients. Suppose that  $p(x, y) = 1$  on  $x + y = 1$ . Then

$$d \leq 2N(p) - 3.$$

This is sharp, for odd  $d$

$$f_d(x, y) := \left( \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 + 4y}}{2} \right)^d + \left( \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 + 4y}}{2} \right)^d + (-1)^{d+1} y^d$$

are polynomials with  $d = 2N(f_d) - 3$ .

# The polynomials $f_d$

- $f_1 = x + y$  gives the the identity map.

# The polynomials $f_d$

- $f_1 = x + y$  gives the the identity map.
- $f_3(x, y) = x^3 + 3xy + y^3$ , gives the map from Faran's theorem.

# The polynomials $f_d$

- $f_1 = x + y$  gives the the identity map.
- $f_3(x, y) = x^3 + 3xy + y^3$ , gives the map from Faran's theorem.
- Coefficients of  $f_d$  are integers.

# The polynomials $f_d$

- $f_1 = x + y$  gives the the identity map.
- $f_3(x, y) = x^3 + 3xy + y^3$ , gives the map from Faran's theorem.
- Coefficients of  $f_d$  are integers.
- In general  $f_d$  are group invariant under the action of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $\epsilon$  being the  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

# The polynomials $f_d$

- $f_1 = x + y$  gives the the identity map.
- $f_3(x, y) = x^3 + 3xy + y^3$ , gives the map from Faran's theorem.
- Coefficients of  $f_d$  are integers.
- In general  $f_d$  are group invariant under the action of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $\epsilon$  being the  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

- My coauthor (somewhere in the room) together with D'Angelo proved that these groups together with the group generated by  $\epsilon I$  are essentially the only group representations allowing an invariant proper map of balls.

# Sharp polynomials

Let  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$  be the set of degree  $d$  polynomials  $p(x, y)$  with nonnegative coefficients such that  $p(x, y) = 1$  when  $x + y = 1$ .

Call  $p \in \mathcal{H}(2, d)$  *sharp* if  $p$  minimizes  $N(p)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$ .

# Sharp polynomials

Let  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$  be the set of degree  $d$  polynomials  $p(x, y)$  with nonnegative coefficients such that  $p(x, y) = 1$  when  $x + y = 1$ .

Call  $p \in \mathcal{H}(2, d)$  *sharp* if  $p$  minimizes  $N(p)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$ .

For example,  $f_d$  are sharp. Question is, what are all the sharp examples. It is not too difficult to show that there are only finitely many sharp polynomials in  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$ . We will say that *uniqueness holds* in degree  $d$ , if there is only one sharp polynomial up to swapping of variables.

# Sharp polynomials

Let  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$  be the set of degree  $d$  polynomials  $p(x, y)$  with nonnegative coefficients such that  $p(x, y) = 1$  when  $x + y = 1$ .

Call  $p \in \mathcal{H}(2, d)$  *sharp* if  $p$  minimizes  $N(p)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$ .

For example,  $f_d$  are sharp. Question is, what are all the sharp examples. It is not too difficult to show that there are only finitely many sharp polynomials in  $\mathcal{H}(2, d)$ . We will say that *uniqueness holds* in degree  $d$ , if there is only one sharp polynomial up to swapping of variables.

## Theorem (– and D’Angelo, ’09)

*There are infinitely many odd degrees for which uniqueness does not hold. Also, uniqueness does not hold for all even degrees.*

## What we did, I

What we did (– and Lichtblau) is to classify all sharp polynomials up to  $d = 17$ . I will *WOW* you with a table on the next slide. In terms of uniqueness we proved

### Theorem

*For  $d \leq 17$ , uniqueness holds for  $d = 1, 3, 5, 9, 17$ .*

## What we did, I

What we did (– and Lichtblau) is to classify all sharp polynomials up to  $d = 17$ . I will *WOW* you with a table on the next slide. In terms of uniqueness we proved

### Theorem

*For  $d \leq 17$ , uniqueness holds for  $d = 1, 3, 5, 9, 17$ .*

There is a procedure for generating new examples using  $f_d$  so we have a list of candidates for uniqueness (where the procedure does not apply). This list is

1, 3, 5, 9, 17, 21, 33, 41, 45, 53, 69, 77, 81, 93, 105, 113, 117, 125, 129,  
141, 149, 153, 161, 165, 177, 185, 201, 213, 221, 225, 249, 261, 269, 273,  
285, 297, 305, 309, 333, 341, 345, 357, 365, 369, 381, 405, 413, 417, 429,  
437, 441, 453, 465, 473, 489, 501, ...

## What we did, II

The following table lists all sharp polynomials in odd degrees up to swapping of variables. A \* next to degree indicates uniqueness.

$d$	Sharp polynomials
1*	$x + y$
3*	$x^3 + 3xy + y^3$
5*	$x^5 + 5x^3y + 5xy^2 + y^5$
7	$x^7 + 7x^3y + 14x^2y^3 + 7xy^5 + y^7$ $x^7 + 7x^3y + 7x^3y^3 + 7xy^3 + y^7$ $x^7 + \frac{7}{2}x^5y + \frac{7}{2}xy + \frac{7}{2}xy^5 + y^7$
9*	$x^9 + 9x^7y + 27x^5y^2 + 30x^3y^3 + 9xy^4 + y^9$
11	$x^{11} + 11x^9y + 44x^7y^2 + 77x^5y^3 + 55x^3y^4 + 11xy^5 + y^{11}$ $x^{11} + 11x^5y + 11x^5y^5 + 55x^4y^3 + 55x^3y^5 + 11xy^5 + y^{11}$

Continued ...

## What we did, III

$d$	Sharp polynomials
13	$x^{13} + 13x^{11}y + 65x^9y^2 + 156x^7y^3 + 182x^5y^4 + 91x^3y^5 + 13xy^6 + y^{13}$ $x^{13} + 13x^{11}y + 65x^9y^2 + \frac{221}{2}x^7y^3 + \frac{92}{2}x^3y^3 + \frac{91}{2}x^3y^7 + 13xy^6 + y^{13}$ $x^{13} + \frac{234}{25}x^{11}y + \frac{143}{5}x^8y^2 + \frac{143}{5}x^7y^4 + \frac{91}{25}xy + \frac{143}{25}xy^6 + \frac{91}{25}xy^{11} + y^{13}$ $x^{13} + \frac{234}{25}x^{11}y + \frac{143}{5}x^9y^2 + \frac{143}{5}x^7y^3 + \frac{91}{25}xy + \frac{143}{25}xy^6 + \frac{91}{25}xy^{11} + y^{13}$
15	$x^{15} + 15x^{13}y + 90x^{11}y^2 + 275x^9y^3 + 450x^7y^4 + 378x^5y^5 + 140x^3y^6$ $+ 15xy^7 + y^{15}$ $x^{15} + 140x^9y^3 + 15x^7y + 420x^7y^4 + 15x^7y^7 + 378x^5y^5 + 140x^3y^6$ $+ 15xy^7 + y^{15}$
17*	$x^{17} + 17x^{15}y + 119x^{13}y^2 + 442x^{11}y^3 + 935x^9y^4 + 1122x^7y^5$ $+ 714x^5y^6 + 204x^3y^7 + 17xy^8 + y^{17}$

$d = 19$  will take 1 year on my machine, but NSF will buy me a new computer come fall, which should drop it into the realm of a month or two. For  $d = 19$ , there are at least two other sharp polynomials besides  $f_d$  that we know.

## Even degrees

In even degrees, we showed that up to  $d = 12$ , sharp polynomials are constructed by the following procedure. Take  $p \in \mathcal{H}(2, a)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{H}(2, b)$  with  $a + b = d$ . Then construct a polynomial

$$p(x, y) - x^a + x^a q(x, y).$$

It seems reasonable to conjecture that all even degree examples come from this procedure.

Note that the number of even degree examples goes to infinity as  $d$  goes to infinity.

## How we did it

Notice that  $p(x, 1 - x) - 1$  equals zero for all  $x$ . Hence we get a set of linear equations of the coefficients. As the coefficients also have to be nonnegative, this is a natural linear programming problem.

We wrote two pieces of code. One is a mixed-integer programming approach. One is a linear algebra approach, which at first ignores the inequalities.

# The mixed integer-programming approach

We solved the following mixed-integer programming problem in *Mathematica* using the COIN-LP library.

# The mixed integer-programming approach

We solved the following mixed-integer programming problem in *Mathematica* using the COIN-LP library.

First find an upper bound  $m_{jk}$  for every coefficient  $c_{jk}$  of the monomial  $x^j y^k$ . Then take

$$0 \leq c_{jk} \leq m_{jk} b_{jk}$$

where  $m_{jk}$  is the upper bound and  $b_{jk} \in \{0, 1\}$  is a variable. We use the linear equations to eliminate variables and adjust the inequalities.

# The mixed integer-programming approach

We solved the following mixed-integer programming problem in *Mathematica* using the COIN-LP library.

First find an upper bound  $m_{jk}$  for every coefficient  $c_{jk}$  of the monomial  $x^j y^k$ . Then take

$$0 \leq c_{jk} \leq m_{jk} b_{jk}$$

where  $m_{jk}$  is the upper bound and  $b_{jk} \in \{0, 1\}$  is a variable. We use the linear equations to eliminate variables and adjust the inequalities.

We work with a stack of problems. We push a relaxed version of the problem where  $b_{jk} \in [0, 1]$ . We solve. If a variable  $b_{jk}$  is not 0 or 1 we create and push two new problems with this requirement. Once we get a valid solution with  $b_{jk} \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $j$  and  $k$ , then we push new problems by in turn forcing one of the  $b_{jk}$  to 0.

## Linear algebra approach

We could also just look at the equations coming from  $p(x, 1 - x) - 1 \equiv 0$  and also force a certain set of the coefficients  $c_{jk} = 0$ . Note that the  $-1$  gives us an inhomogeneous problem. So we can easily solve by Gaussian elimination. However, we get too many solutions.

## Linear algebra approach

We could also just look at the equations coming from  $p(x, 1 - x) - 1 \equiv 0$  and also force a certain set of the coefficients  $c_{jk} = 0$ . Note that the  $-1$  gives us an inhomogeneous problem. So we can easily solve by Gaussian elimination. However, we get too many solutions.

We notice that a sharp polynomial is not part of a family. That is, the solution to the linear algebra problem above must be zero dimensional (or one dimensional if we rewrite the inhomogeneous problem as a homogeneous problem).

## Linear algebra approach

We could also just look at the equations coming from  $p(x, 1 - x) - 1 \equiv 0$  and also force a certain set of the coefficients  $c_{jk} = 0$ . Note that the  $-1$  gives us an inhomogeneous problem. So we can easily solve by Gaussian elimination. However, we get too many solutions.

We notice that a sharp polynomial is not part of a family. That is, the solution to the linear algebra problem above must be zero dimensional (or one dimensional if we rewrite the inhomogeneous problem as a homogeneous problem).

So we try all possible combinations of the equations  $c_{jk} = 0$ , giving us the right number of terms. We check the dimension of the result, if it is correct, we check positivity of the coefficients.

## Linear algebra approach

We could also just look at the equations coming from  $p(x, 1 - x) - 1 \equiv 0$  and also force a certain set of the coefficients  $c_{jk} = 0$ . Note that the  $-1$  gives us an inhomogeneous problem. So we can easily solve by Gaussian elimination. However, we get too many solutions.

We notice that a sharp polynomial is not part of a family. That is, the solution to the linear algebra problem above must be zero dimensional (or one dimensional if we rewrite the inhomogeneous problem as a homogeneous problem).

So we try all possible combinations of the equations  $c_{jk} = 0$ , giving us the right number of terms. We check the dimension of the result, if it is correct, we check positivity of the coefficients.

This approach was prototyped in the *Genius* software (my own free software package). Then the algorithm was rewritten in C using the *GMP* library for large integer support.

# This was still SLOW!

Unfortunately with this simplistic approach it was only possible to get to about  $d = 13$  in reasonable time. So we proved several new results about sharp polynomials to reduce the search space.

## This was still SLOW!

Unfortunately with this simplistic approach it was only possible to get to about  $d = 13$  in reasonable time. So we proved several new results about sharp polynomials to reduce the search space.

First and most important, we proved that sharp polynomials of odd degree  $d$  have  $x^d + y^d$  as the degree  $d$  part, and these are the only pure terms. The proof uses the same setup using Newton diagrams as D'Angelo-Kos-Riehl.

## This was still SLOW!

Unfortunately with this simplistic approach it was only possible to get to about  $d = 13$  in reasonable time. So we proved several new results about sharp polynomials to reduce the search space.

First and most important, we proved that sharp polynomials of odd degree  $d$  have  $x^d + y^d$  as the degree  $d$  part, and these are the only pure terms. The proof uses the same setup using Newton diagrams as D'Angelo-Kos-Riehl.

Another useful result proved using the same methods is that there must be one term of degree  $d - 1$  and half the terms of degree  $d - 1$  do not appear.

## This was still SLOW!

Unfortunately with this simplistic approach it was only possible to get to about  $d = 13$  in reasonable time. So we proved several new results about sharp polynomials to reduce the search space.

First and most important, we proved that sharp polynomials of odd degree  $d$  have  $x^d + y^d$  as the degree  $d$  part, and these are the only pure terms. The proof uses the same setup using Newton diagrams as D'Angelo-Kos-Riehl.

Another useful result proved using the same methods is that there must be one term of degree  $d - 1$  and half the terms of degree  $d - 1$  do not appear.

Some further minor simplifications are also done, but the above are the main ones.

## Another optimization

For the linear algebra approach, before computing the nullspace with integer arithmetic, what we do is to first compute it in a small finite field. Surprisingly,  $\mathbb{F}_{19}$  was sufficient. That way we can easily throw out cases where the matrix was nonsingular.

# Timings

The  $d = 17$  case was on the order of days for both methods.

The mixed-integer programming method scales better. Around 50 fold for increasing degree by 2. The linear algebra approach seems to increase 100 fold.

## Some URLs

Code for the algorithms: `http://www.jirka.org/LL08-archive.zip`

Genius: `http://www.jirka.org/genius.html`

*Mathematica*: `http://www.wolfram.com/`

Thank you!